UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case: 2:08-cr-20347 Judge: Tarnow, Arthur J

MJ: Pepe, Steven D

Filed: 06-18-2008 At 02:42 PM INFO USA V WING (RRH)

MOMORADUL.

v.

D-1 BETTY WING,

Defendant.

VIOLATION:

Embezzlement of Union Assets

29 U.S.C. § 501(c)

INFORMATION

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE (29 U.S.C. § 501(c) - Embezzlement of Union Assets)

D-1 BETTY WING

From on or about June 26, 2003, to on or about March 31, 2004, in the Eastern District of Michigan, BETTY WING, Defendant herein, while acting as Secretary-Treasurer of the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO Local 1077 (USW, Local 1077), formerly United Paperworkers International Union, AFL-CIO Local 1077 (PACE Local 1077), a labor organization engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce, did engage in an ongoing scheme where she embezzled, stole and unlawfully and willfully abstracted and converted

to her own use, the moneys, funds, securities, property, or other assets of said labor organization by paying herself for false activities she claimed to have conducted on behalf of the local, and by adding numbers to existing checks payable to herself that increased the

monetary value of the checks, in the approximate amount of Six Thousand Five Hundred

Eighty Four and 65/100 Dollars (\$6,584.65).

All in violation of Title 29, United States Code, Section 501(c).

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN J. MURPHY United States Attorney

JAMES M. WOUCZYNA (P22562)

Assistant United States Attorney

211 W. Fort St., Ste. 2001

Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 226-9642

E-Mail: Jim.Wouczyna@usdoj.gov

DATED: June 18, 2008

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case	Cove Sheets	Case Number	
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistar	nt U.S. Attorney signing this form to	Judge: Tarno	w, Arthur J	
Companion Case Information		Cor Filed: 06-18-2	MJ: Pepe, Steven D Filed: 06-18-2008 At 02:42 I ⁿ M INFO USA V WING (RRH)	
This may be a companion case base	ed upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :			
Yes No	XX	AUSA's Initials:	m	
and the control way of the Co	D STATES v. D-1 BET occurred: Monroe			
Check One: XXX	Σ Felony M	isdemeanor	Petty	
	rior complaint. pon prior complaint [Case nur prmation based upor		uperseding section below].	
Superseding Case Inform	ıation:		: .	
Superseding to Case No:		_ Judge:		
☐ Corrects errors; no☐ Involves, for plea po	erminated; no additional cha additional charges or defend urposes, different charges o bject matter but adds the ac	dants. r adds counts.	narges below:	
<u>Defendant name</u>		<u>Charges</u>		
June 18, 2008 Date	JAMES N Assistant 211 W. Fo Detroit, M	M. Wruczyw 1. WOUCZYNA (P225) United States Attorney ort Street, Suite 2001 II 48226 13) 226-9642		

E-Mail: Jim. Wouczyna@usdoj.gov

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial or the same of related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.